arXiv:1607.05586v2 [math.CA] 20 Nov 2016

WEIGHTED VECTOR-VALUED BOUNDS FOR THE SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH NONSMOOTH KERNELS

GUOEN HU

Abstract. Let T be a singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel which was introduced by Duong and McIntosh. In this paper, we prove that this operator and its corresponding grand maximal operator satisfy certain weak type endpoint vector-valued estimate of $L\log L$ type. As an application we established a refined weighted vector-valued bound for this operator.

1. Introduction

We will work on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$. Let $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($p \in (1,\infty)$) be the weight functions class of Muckenhoupt, that is, $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if w is nonnegative and locally integrable, and

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x)\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $[w]_{A_p}$ is called the A_p constant of w, see [6] for properties of $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In the last several years, there has been significant progress in the study of sharp weighted bounds with A_p weights for the classical operators in Harmonic Analysis. The study was begin by Buckley [1], who proved that if $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the Hasrdy-Littlewood maximal operator M satisfies

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)} \lesssim_{n,\,p} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)}, \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, the estimate (1.1) is sharp since the exponent $1/(p-1)$ can not be replaced by a smaller one. Hytönen and Pérez [9] improved the estimate (1.1), and showed that

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)} \lesssim_{n,\,p} \big([w]_{A_p}[w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)}. \tag{1.2}$$

where and in the following, for a weight u, $[u]_{A_\infty}$ is defined by

$$[u]_{A_\infty} = \sup_{Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{u(Q)}\int_Q M(u\chi_Q)(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

It is well known that for $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $[w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Thus, (1.2) is more subtle than (1.1).

The sharp dependence of the weighted estimates of singular integral operators in terms of the $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ constant was first considered by Petermichl [14, 15], who

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20.

Key words and phrases. weighted vector-valued inequality, singular integral operator, non-smooth kernel, sparse operator, sharp maximal operator,

The research was supported by the NNSF of China under grant #11371370.

solved this question for Hilbert transform and Riesz transform. Hytönen [7] proved that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T and $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\, w)} \lesssim_n [w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\, w)}. \tag{1.3}$$

This solved the so-called A_2 conjecture. Combining the estimate (1.3) and the extrapolation theorem in [3], we know that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T, $p \in (1,\, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\, w)} \lesssim_{n,\, p} [w]_{A_p}^{\max\{1,\, \frac{1}{p-1}\}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\, w)}. \tag{1.4}$$

In [12], Lerner gave a much simple proof of (1.4) by controlling the Calderón-Zygmund operator using sparse operators. Lerner [12] proved that

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a sublinear operator and $\mathcal{M}_T$ be the corresponding grand maximal operator defined by*

$$\mathcal{M}_T f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\xi \in Q} |T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)|.$$

Suppose that both T and $\mathcal{M}_T$ are bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $p \in (1,\, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, T satisfies (1.4).

Let $p,\, r \in (0,\, \infty]$ and w be a weight. As usual, for a sequence of numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, we denote $\|\{a_k\}\|_{l^r} = \big(\sum_k |a_k|^r\big)^{1/r}$. The space $L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w)$ is defined as

$$L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w) = \big\{\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} :\, \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w)} < \infty\big\}$$

where

$$\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w)} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^r}^p w(x)\, \mathrm{d}x\Big)^{1/p}.$$

When $w \equiv 1$, we denote $\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w)}$ by $\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^r;\, \mathbb{R}^n)}$ for simplicity. Hu [10] extended Lerner's result to the vector-valued case, proved that

Theorem 1.2. *Let T be a sublinear operator and $\mathcal{M}_T$ be the corresponding grand maximal operator. Suppose that for some $q \in (1,\, \infty)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n :\, \|\{Tf_k(y)\}\|_{l^q} + \|\{\mathcal{M}_T f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda\big\}\big| \\ &\quad\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda} \log\Big(1 + \frac{\|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\Big) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Then for all $p \in (1,\, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\big\|\{Tf_k\}\big\|_{L^p(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \lesssim_{n,\, p} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \big([w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}} + [w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}}\big)[w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty}^{\beta} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^q;\, \mathbb{R}^n,\, w)}.$$

Let T be a $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and a. e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \operatorname{supp} f$,

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,\, y) f(y) dy. \tag{1.5}$$

where K is a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x,\, y) :\, x = y\}$. To obtain a weak $(1,\, 1)$ estimate for certain Riesz transforms, and L^p boundedness with $p \in (1,\, \infty)$ of holomorphic functional calculi of linear elliptic operators on irregular domains, Duong and McIntosh [4] introduced singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels on spaces of homogeneous type via the following generalized approximation to the identity.

Definition 1.3. A family of operators $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ is said to be an approximation to the identity, if for every $t > 0$, A_t can be represented by the kernel at in the following sense: for every function $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ and almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$A_t u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_t(x, y)u(y)dy,$$

and the kernel a_t satisfies that for all x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$|a_t(x, y)| \le h_t(x, y) = t^{-n/s} h\Big(\frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/s}}\Big), \tag{1.6}$$

where $s > 0$ is a constant and h is a positive, bounded and decreasing function such that for some constant $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{n+\eta} h(r) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Assumption 1.4. There exists an approximation to the identity $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ such that the composite operator TA_t has an associated kernel K_t in the sense of (1.6), and there exists a positive constant c_1 such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\int_{|x-y|\ge c_1 t^{\frac{1}{s}}} K(x, y) - K_t(x, y)|dx \lesssim 1.$$

An $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K satisfying Assumption 1.4 is called a singular integral operator with nonsmooth kernel, since K does not enjoy smoothness in space variables. Duong and McIntosh [4] proved that if T is an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K, and satisfies Assumption 1.4, then T is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To consider the weighted estimates with $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of singular integral operators with non-smooth kernel, Martell [13] introduced the following assumptions.

Assumption 1.5. There exists an approximation to the identity $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$ such that the composite operator D_tT has an associated kernel K^t in the sense of (1.6), and there exist positive constants c_2 and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that for all $t > 0$ and x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - y| \ge c_2 t^{\frac{1}{s}}$,

$$|K(x, y) - K^t(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{t^{\alpha/s}}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Martell [13] proved that if T is an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator, satisfies Assumption 1.4 and Assumption 1.5, then for any $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$. The first purpose of this paper is to establish the endpoint vector-valued estimates for the corresponding grand maximal operator of singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K as in (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.4 and Assumption 1.5. Then for each $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{Tf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} + \|\{\mathcal{M}_T f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda\}\big| \\ \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda} \log\big(1 + \frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\big)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

If we further assume that the kernels $\{K^t\}_{t>0}$ in Assumption 1.5 also satisfy that for all $t>0$ and $x,\, y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x-y|\le c_2 t^{\frac{1}{s}}$,

$$|K^t(x,\, y)|\lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{s}}, \tag{1.9}$$

then (1.8) is also true for T^*, here and in the following, T^* is the maximal singular integral operator defined by

$$T^*f(x)=\sup_{\epsilon>0}|T_\epsilon f(x)|,$$

with

$$T_\epsilon f(x)=\int_{|x-y|>\epsilon}K(x,\, y)f(y)dy.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following weighted vector-valued bounds for T and T^*.

Corollary 1.7. *Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense of (1.9). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.4 and Assumption 1.5. Then for $p\in(1,\,\infty)$ and $w\in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\big\|\{Tf_k\}\big\|_{L^p(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)}\lesssim_{n,\,p}[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\big([\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}+[w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}}\big)[\sigma]_{A_\infty}\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n,\,w)}, \tag{1.10}$$

with $\sigma=w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Moreover, if the kernels $\{K^t\}_{t>0}$ in Assumption 1.5 satisfy (1.9), then the weighted estimate (1.10) also holds for T^*.

Remark 1.8. We do not know if the weighted bound in (1.10) is sharp.

In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A\lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A\le CB$. Constant with subscript such as C_1, does not change in different occurrences. For any set $E\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. For a cube $Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda\in(0,\,\infty)$, we use $\ell(Q)$ (diam$Q$) to denote the side length (diamter) of Q, and λQ to denote the cube with the same center as Q and whose side length is λ times that of Q. For $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r>0$, $B(x,\,r)$ denotes the ball centered at x and having radius r.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q,\, p_0\in(1,\,\infty)$, $\varrho\in[0,\,\infty)$ and S be a sublinear operator. Suppose that*

$$\|\{Sf_k\}\|_{L^{p_0}(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^{p_0}(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and for all $\lambda>0$,

$$\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\,\|\{Sf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}>\lambda\}\big|\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\log^\varrho\Big(1+\frac{\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\Big)dx.$$

Then for cubes $Q_2\subset Q_1\subset\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{|Q_1|}\int_{Q_1}\big\|\{S(f_k\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}dx\lesssim\big\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big\|_{L(\log L)^{\varrho+1},\,Q_2},$$

here and in the following, for $\beta \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} = \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\log^\beta\Big(1 + \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\Big)dy \le 1\Big\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.1 is a generalization of Lemma 3.1 in [11]. Their proofs are very similar. By homogeneity, we may assume that $\big\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big\|_{L(\log L)^{\varrho+1}, Q_2} = 1$, which implies that

$$\int_{Q_2} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\log^{\varrho+1}\big(1 + \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\big)dx \le |Q_2|.$$

For each fixed $\lambda > 0$, set $\Omega_\lambda = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda^{\frac{p_0-1}{2p_0}}\big\}$. Decompose f_k as

$$f_k(x) = f_k(x)\chi_{\Omega_\lambda}(x) + f_k(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega_\lambda}(x) = f_k^1(x) + f_k^2(x).$$

It is obvious that $\|\{f_k^2\}\|_{L^\infty(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \lambda^{\frac{p_0-1}{2p_0}}$. A trivial computation leads to that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^\infty \big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{S(f_k^2\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda/2\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\lesssim \int_1^\infty\int_{Q_2} \big\|\{f_k^2(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}^{p_0}dx\lambda^{-p_0}d\lambda\\
&\lesssim \int_{Q_2}\big\|\{f_k^2(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}dx\int_1^\infty \lambda^{-p_0+\frac{(p_0-1)^2}{2p_0}}d\lambda \lesssim |Q_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^\infty \big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{S(f_k^1\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda/2\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\lesssim \int_1^\infty\int_{Q_2} \big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\log^\varrho\big(1 + \big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\big)dx\lambda^{-1}d\lambda\\
&\lesssim \int_{Q_2}\big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\log^\varrho\big(1 + \big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\big)\int_1^{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}^{\frac{2p_0}{p_0-1}}}\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda dx\\
&\lesssim \int_{Q_2}\big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\log^{\varrho+1}\big(1 + \big\|\{f_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\big)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above then yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \big|\{x \in Q_1 : \|\{S(f_k\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\lesssim \int_0^1 \big|\{x \in Q_1 : \|\{S(f_k\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\quad + \int_1^\infty \big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{S(f_k^1\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda/2\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\quad + \int_1^\infty \big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{S(f_k^2\chi_{Q_2})(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda/2\}\big|d\lambda\\
&\lesssim |Q_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Recall that the standard dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ consists of all cubes of the form

$$2^{-k}([0,\,1)^n + j),\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Denote the standard grid by $\mathcal{D}$. For a fixed cube Q, denote by $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ the set of dyadic cubes with respect to Q, that is, the cubes from $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ are formed by repeating subdivision of Q and each of descendants into 2^n congruent subcubes.

As usual, by a general dyadic grid $\mathscr{D}$, we mean a collection of cube with the following properties: (i) for any cube $Q \in \mathscr{D}$, it side length $\ell(Q)$ is of the form 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) for any cubes $Q_1,\, Q_2 \in \mathscr{D}$, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \in \{Q_1,\, Q_2,\, \emptyset\}$; (iii) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cubes of side length 2^k form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^n$. By the one-third trick, (see [8, Lemma 2.5]), there exist dyadic grids $\mathscr{D}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{D}_{3^n}$, such that for each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a cube $I \in \mathscr{D}_j$ for some j, $Q \subset I$ and $\ell(Q) \approx \ell(I)$.

Let $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$ be an approximation to the identity. Associated with $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$, define the sharp maximal operator $M_D^\sharp$ by

$$M_D^\sharp f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - D_{t_B} f(y)|dy,\ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),\ p \in [1,\, \infty)$$

with $t_B = r_B^s$ and s the constant appeared in (1.6), the supremum is taken over all balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This operator was introduced by Martell [13] and plays an important role in the weighted estimates for singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels. Let $q \in (1,\, \infty)$, $\{f_k\} \subset L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p_0 \in [1,\, \infty]$, set

$$M_D^\sharp(\{f_k\})(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \big\|\{|f_k(y) - D_{t_B} f_k(y)|\}\big\|_{l^q} dy.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda > 0$, $\{f_k\} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube such that there exists $x_0 \in B$ with $M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x_0) < \lambda$. Then, for every $\zeta \in (0,\, 1)$, we can find $\gamma > 0$ (independent of λ, B, f, x_0), such that*

$$|\{x \in B :\, M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x) > A\lambda,\, M_D^\sharp(\{f_k\})(x) \le \gamma\lambda\}| \le \zeta|B|, \tag{2.1}$$

where $A > 1$ is a fixed constant which only depends on the approximation of the identity $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$.

Proof. Let $A \in (1,\, \infty)$ be a constant which will be chosen later. For $\lambda > 0$, set

$$E_\lambda = \{x \in B :\, M\big(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x) > A\lambda,\, M_D^\sharp(\{f_k\})(x) \le \gamma\lambda\}.$$

We assume that there exists $x_E \in E_\lambda$, for otherwise there is nothing to prove. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [13] (see also the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [11]), we can verify that for each $x \in E_\lambda$ and $\widetilde{A} = 2^{-2n}A$,

$$M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\chi_{4B})(x) > \widetilde{A}\lambda.$$

Now let $t = r_{16B}^s$. For $y \in 4B$, write

$$|D_t(f_k\chi_{16B})(y)| \le \int_{16B} |h_t(y,\, z)f_k(z)|dz.$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we deduce that

$$\big\|\{|D_t(f_k\chi_{16B})(y)|\}\big\|_{l^q} \le \int_{16B} |h_t(y,\, z)|\|\{f_k(z)\}\|_{l^q}dz \lesssim M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x_0),$$

since h is bounded on $[0,\infty)$. Also, we have that for $y\in 4B$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\{|D_t(f_k\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 16B})(y)|\}\big\|_{l^q} &\le \sum_{l=4}^{\infty}\int_{2^{l+1}B\setminus 2^lB}|h_t(y,\,z)|\|\{f_k(z)\}\|_{l^q}dz\\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=4}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{2^{l+1}B\setminus 2^lB}h(2^{l+4})\|\{f_k(z)\}\|_{l^q}dz\\ &\lesssim M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x_0).\end{aligned}$$

This, in turn implies that for all $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M\big(\|\{(D_tf_k)\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)\lesssim M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x_0)\le C_1\lambda,$$

with $C_1>0$ a constant. Therefore, for each $x\in E_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}M\big(\|\{f_k\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x) &\le M\big(\|\{(f_k-D_tf_k)\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)+M\big(\|\{(D_tf_k)\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)\\ &\le M\big(\|\{(f_k-D_tf_k)\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)+C_1\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

We choose $A>1$ such that $\widetilde{A}=C_1+1$. It then follows that

$$E_\lambda\subset\{x\in B:\,M\big(\|\{(f_k-D_tf_k)\chi_{4B}\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)>\lambda\}.$$

This, via the weak type $(1,\,1)$ estimate of M, tells us that

$$|E_\lambda|\le C_2\lambda^{-1}\int_{4B}\|f_k(y)-D_tf_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}dy\le C_2 16^n\lambda^{-1}|B|M_D^\sharp(\{f_k\})(x_E)\le C_2 16^n\gamma|B|.$$

For each $\zeta\in(0,\,1)$, let $\gamma=\zeta(2C_2 16^n)^{-1}$. The inequality (2.1) holds for this γ. □

As in the proof of the Fefferman-Stein inequality (see [6, pp 150-151], or the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [11]), we can deduce from Lemma 2.2 that

Corollary 2.3. *Let Φ be an increasing function on $[0,\infty)$ satisfying that*

$$\Phi(2t)\le C\Phi(t),\ \ t\in[0,\infty).$$

$\{D_t\}_{t>0}$ be an approximation to the identity as in Definition 1.4. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of functions such that for any $R>0$,

$$\sup_{0<\lambda<R}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\,M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x)>\lambda\}|<\infty.$$

Then

$$\sup_{\lambda>0}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:M(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x)>\lambda\}|\lesssim\sup_{\lambda>0}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:M_D^\sharp(\{f_k\})(x)>\lambda\}|.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K as in (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.4. Then for any $q\in(1,\,\infty)$, T is bounded from $L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\,\infty}(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)$*

Proof. We only consider the case $c_1=2$. The other cases can be treated in the same way. For $\lambda>0$, by applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to $\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}$ at level λ, we obtain a sequence of cubes $\{Q_l\}$ with disjoint interiors, such that

$$\lambda<\frac{1}{|Q_l|}\int_{Q_l}\big\|\{f_k(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}\mathrm{d}x\lesssim\lambda,$$

and $\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\lesssim\lambda$ for a. e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\cup_l Q_l$. For each fixed k, set

$$f_k^1(x)=f_k(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus(\cup_l Q_l)}(x),$$

$$f_k^2(x)=\sum_l A_{t_{Q_l}}b_{k,\,l}(x),\ f_k^3(x)=\sum_l \big(b_{k,\,l}(x)-A_{t_{Q_l}}b_{k,\,l}(x)\big)\chi_{Q_l}(x),$$

with $b_{k,\,l}(y)=f_k(y)\chi_{Q_l}(y)$, $t_{Q_l}=\{\ell(Q_l)\}^s$. By the fact that $\big\|\{f_k^1\}\big\|_{L^\infty(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\lambda$, we deduce that

$$\big\|\{f_k^1\}\big\|^q_{L^q(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\lambda^{q-1}\big\|\{f_k\}\big\|_{L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Recalling that T is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that

$$\Big|\Big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\big\|\{Tf_k^1(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}>\lambda/3\Big\}\Big|\lesssim\lambda^{-q}\big\|\{f_k^1\}\big\|^q_{L^q(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\lambda^{-1}\big\|\{f_k\}\big\|_{L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

On the other hand, we get from (1.5) and (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|v_k(y)A_{t_{Q_l}}b_{k,\,l}(y)\big|\mathrm{d}y&\le\int_{Q_l}|b_{k,\,l}(z)|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}h_{t_{Q_l}}(z,\,y)|v_k(z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y\\&\lesssim\int_{Q_l}|b_{k,\,l}(z)|\mathrm{d}z\inf_{y\in Q_l}Mv_k(y).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation involving Minkowski's inequality gives us that

$$\Big(\sum_k\|b_{k,\,l}\|^q_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/q}\le\int_{Q_l}\Big(\sum_k|f_k(y)|^q\Big)^{1/q}dy\lesssim\lambda|Q_l|.$$

Therefore, by Minkowski's inequality and the vector-valued inequality of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M (see [5]),

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\Big(\sum_k\Big|\sum_l A_{t_{Q_l}}b_{k,\,l}\Big|^q\Big)^{1/q}\Big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le\sup_{\|\{v_k\}\|_{L^{q'}(l^{q'};\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\le1}\sum_k\sum_l\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|v_k(y)A_{t_{Q_l}}b_{k,\,l}(y)\big|dy\\&\lesssim\sup_{\|\{v_k\}\|_{L^{p'_m}(l^{r'_m};\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\le1}\sum_k\sum_l\int_{Q_l}|b_{k,\,l}(z)|\mathrm{d}z\inf_{y\in Q_l}Mv_k(y)\\&\lesssim\sup_{\|\{v_k\}\|_{L^{q'}(l^{q'};\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\le1}\sum_l\Big\{\sum_k\Big(\int_{Q_l}|b_{k,\,l}(z)|dz\Big)^q\Big\}^{\frac1q}\inf_{y\in Q_m^l}\big\|\{Mv_k(y)\}\big\|_{l^{q'}}\\&\lesssim\sup_{\|\{v_k\}\|_{L^{q'}(l^{q'};\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\le1}\sum_l\int_{Q_l}\big\|\{b_{k,\,l}(z)\}\big\|_{l^q}dz\inf_{y\in Q_l}\big\|\{Mv_k(y)\}\big\|_{l^{q'}}\\&\lesssim\lambda\sup_{\|\{v_k\}\|_{L^{q'}(l^{q'};\,\mathbb{R}^n)}\le1}\int_{\cup_jQ_j}\big\|\{Mv_k(y)\}\big\|_{l^{q'}}dy\\&\lesssim\lambda^{\frac{q-1}{q}}\big\|\{f_k\}\big\|^{\frac1q}_{L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

This, along with the fact that T is bounded from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, leads to that

$$\big|\big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\big\|\{Tf_k^2(x)\}\big\|_{l^q}>\lambda/3\big\}\big|\lesssim\lambda^{-1}\big\|\{f_k\}\big\|_{L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{2.3}$$

We turn our attention to Tf_k^2. Let $\Omega=\cup_l 4nQ_l$. It is obvious that $|\Omega|\lesssim\lambda^{-1}\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)}$. For each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega$, write

$$\big|Tf_k^3(x)\big|\le\sum_l\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|K(x;y)-K_{A_{t_{Q_l}}}(x;y)\big||b_{k,l}(y)|dy$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality twice, we obtain

$$\big\|\{Tf_k^3(x)\}\big\|_{l^q} \le \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|K(x;y) - K_{A_{t_{Q_l}}}(x;y)\big| \|\{b_{k,l}(y)\}\|_{l^q} dy$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega : \big\|\{Tf_k^3(x)\}\big\|_{l^q} > \lambda/3\big\}\big| \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash 4nQ_l} \big|K(x;y) - K_{A_{t_{Q_l}}}(x;y)\big| dx \|\{b_{k,l}(y)\}\|_{l^q} dy \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^1(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Combining the inequalities (2.2)-(2.4) leads to our conclusion. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let T be the singular integral operator in Theorem 1.2, then for each $N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and functions $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p_0 \in [1, \infty)$,*

$$M_D^\sharp(\{Tf_k\})(x) \lesssim M_{L\log L}(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x) + \|\{Mf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, B be a ball containing x and $t_B = r_B^s$. Write

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \big\|\{|Tf_k(y) - D_{t_B} Tf_k(y)|\}\big\|_{l^q} dy \le E_1 + \mathrm{E}_2 + \mathrm{E}_3,$$

with

$$\mathrm{E}_1 = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|\{T(f_k\chi_{4B})(y)\}\|_{l^q} dy,$$

$$\mathrm{E}_2 = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|\{D_{t_B} T(f\chi_{4B})(y)\}\|_{l^q} dy,$$

and

$$\mathrm{E}_3 = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \big\|\{|T(f_k\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 4B})(y) - D_{t_B} T(f_k\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 4B})(y)|\}\big\|_{l^q} dy.$$

Recall that T is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (and so is bounded on $L^q(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)$). Thus by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathrm{E}_1 \lesssim \big\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big\|_{L\log L,\,4B} \lesssim M_{L\log L}(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Minkowski's inequality that

$$\|\{D_{t_B} T(f_k\chi_{4B})(y)\}\|_{l^q} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{t_B}(y,\,z)| \|\{T(f_k\chi_{4B})(z)\}\|_{l^q} dz$$

Let

$$\mathrm{F}_0 = \int_{16B} |h_{t_B}(y,\,z)| \|\{T(f_k\chi_{4B})(z)\}\|_{l^q} dz$$

and for $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{F}_j = \int_{2^{j+5}B\backslash 2^{j+4}B} |h_{t_B}(y,\,z)| \|\{T(f_k\chi_{4B})(z)\}\|_{l^q} dz.$$

By the estimate (1.7) and Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\mathrm{F}_0 \le \big\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big\|_{L\log L,\,4B},$$

and

$$\mathrm{F}_j \le \frac{1}{|B|} h(2^j) \int_{2^{j+5}B} \|\{T(f_k\chi_{4B})(z)\}\|_{l^q} dz \lesssim 2^{-\delta j} \big\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big\|_{L\log L,\,4B}.$$

This, in turn gives us that

$$\mathrm{E}_2 \lesssim \big\| \|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q} \big\|_{L\log L,\, 4B}.$$

Finally, Assumption 1.5 tells us that for each k and $y \in B$,

$$\big|T(f_k\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 4B})(y) - D_{t_B}T(f_k\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 4B}(y)\big| \lesssim Mf_k(x),$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{E}_3 \lesssim \|\{Mf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}.$$

Combining the estimates for E_1, E_2 and E_3 then leads to our desired conclusion. □

Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a dyadic grid. Associated with $\mathscr{D}$, define the sharp maximal function $M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D}}$ as

$$M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D}} f(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q\ni x\\ Q\in\mathscr{D}}} \inf_{c\in\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)-c|dy.$$

For $\delta \in (0,\,1)$, let $M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D},\,\delta} f(x) = \big[M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D}}(|f|^\delta)(x)\big]^{1/\delta}$. Repeating the argument in [16, p. 153], we can verify that if Φ is a increasing function on $[0,\,\infty)$ which satisfies that

$$\Phi(2t) \le C\Phi(t),\ t\in[0,\,\infty),$$

then

$$\sup_{\lambda>0}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |h(x)|>\lambda\}| \lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D},\delta}h(x)>\lambda\}|, \tag{2.5}$$

provided that $\sup_{\lambda>0}\Phi(\lambda)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n :\, M_{\mathscr{D},\,\delta}h(x)>\lambda\}|<\infty$.

Lemma 2.6. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, for each $\lambda>0$,*

$$\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n :\, \|\{MTf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}>\lambda\}\big| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\log\Big(1+\frac{\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\Big)dx.$$

Proof. By the well known one-third trick (see [8, Lemma 2.5]), we only need to prove that, for each dyadic grid $\mathscr{D}$, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : \big\|\big\{M_{\mathscr{D}}(Tf_k)(x)\big\}\big\|_{l^q}>1\big\}\big|\\ &\quad\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\log\big(1+\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\big)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

holds when $\{f_k\}$ is finite. As in the proof of Lemma 8.1 in [2], we can very that for each cube $Q\in\mathscr{D}$, $\delta\in(0,\,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{c\in\mathbb{C}}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\Big|\|\{M_{\mathscr{D}}f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}-c\Big|^\delta dy\Big)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} &\lesssim \Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\|\{M_{\mathscr{D}}(f_k\chi_Q)\}\|_{l^q}^\delta\Big)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\\ &\lesssim \langle\|\{f_k\chi_Q\}\|_{l^q}\rangle_Q,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we invoked the fact that $M_{\mathscr{D}}$ is bounded from $L^1(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\,\infty}(l^q;\,\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, in turn, implies that

$$M^\sharp_{\mathscr{D},\,\delta}\big(\|\{M_{\mathscr{D}}f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x) \lesssim M_{\mathscr{D}}\big(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x). \tag{2.7}$$

Let $\Phi(t) = t\log^{-1}(1+t^{-1})$. It follows from (2.5), (2.7), (2.1) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ \|\{M_{\mathscr{D}}Tf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}>1\}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>0}\Phi(t)\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M^{\sharp}_{\mathscr{D},\,\delta}\big(\|\{M_{\mathscr{D}}Tf_k\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)>t\}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>0}\Phi(t)\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M\big(\|\{Tf_k\}\|_{l^q}\big)(x)>\lambda\}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>0}\Phi(t)|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M^{\sharp}_{D}(\{Tf_k\})(x)>t\}|\\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>0}\Phi(t)\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M_{L\log L}(\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x)+\|\{Mf_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}>t\}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}\log(1+\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q})dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have invoked the fact that M is bounded from $L^1(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [5]). This establish (2.6) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.6. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $q\in(1,\infty)$. We know by Lemma 2.4 that T is bounded from $L^1(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(l^q;\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, it was proved in [4] (see also [13]) that under the assumption of Theorem 1.6,

$$T^*f(x)\le MTf(x)+Mf(x).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6, for each $\lambda>0$,

$$\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\|\{T^*f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}>\lambda\}\big|\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\log\big(1+\frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\big)dx.$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider $\mathcal{M}_T$ and $\mathcal{M}_{T^*}$. On the other hand, it was proved in that maximal operator $M_{L\log L}$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\big\|\big\{M_{L\log L}f_k(x)\big\}\big\|_{l^q}>\lambda\big\}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\log\Big(1+\frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\Big)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6, our proof is now reduced to proving that the inequalities

$$\mathcal{M}_Tf(x)\lesssim MTf(x)+M_{L\log L}f(x). \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{T^*}f(x)\lesssim MTf(x)+M_{L\log L}f(x). \tag{2.9}$$

hold. Without loss of generality, we assume that $c_2>1$.

Let $Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $x,\,\xi\in Q$. Set $t_Q=\big(\frac{1}{c_2\sqrt{n}}\ell(Q)\big)^s$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}
T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi) &= D_{t_Q}Tf(\xi)-D_{t_Q}T(f\chi_{3Q})(\xi)\\
&\quad+\Big(T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)-D_{t_Q}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

A trivial computation involving (1.8) leads to that

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_{t_Q}Tf(\xi)| &\lesssim |Q|^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^j nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}<|\xi-y|\le 2^{j+1}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|\xi-y|}{t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big)|Tf(y)|dy\\
&\quad +|Q|^{-1}\int_{|\xi-y|\le 2nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}|Tf(y)|dy\\
&\lesssim |Q|^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^{j-1}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}<|x-y|\le 2^{j+2}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|x-y|}{2t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big)|Tf(y)|dy\\
&\quad +|Q|^{-1}\int_{|x-y|\le 3nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}|Tf(y)|dy\\
&\lesssim MTf(x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_{t_Q}T(f\chi_{3Q})(\xi)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^{j-1}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}<|x-y|\le 2^{j+2}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|x-y|}{2t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big)|T(f\chi_{3Q})(y)|dy\\
&\quad +|Q|^{-1}\int_{|x-y|\le 3nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}|T(f\chi_{3Q})(y)|dy\\
&\lesssim M_{L\log L}f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, Assumption 1.5 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)-D_{t_Q}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)\Big| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}\big|K(\xi,\,y)-K^{t_Q}(\xi,\,y)\big||f(y)|dy\\
&\lesssim t_Q^{\frac{\alpha}{s}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}\frac{1}{|\xi-y|^{n+\alpha}}|f(y)|dy\\
&\lesssim Mf(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above leads to (2.8).

It remains to prove (2.9). Let $x,\,\xi\in Q$. Observe that $\operatorname{supp}\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}(y)\subset\{y:|y-x|\ge\ell(Q)\}$.

$$T^*(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)\le|T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)|+\sup_{\epsilon\ge\ell(Q)}|T_\epsilon f(\xi)|. \tag{2.10}$$

Now let $\epsilon\ge\ell(Q)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\epsilon(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi) &= D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}Tf(\xi)-D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}T(f\chi_{3Q})(\xi)\\
&\quad +\Big(T_\epsilon(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)-D_{\epsilon^s}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

As in the argument for $\mathcal{M}_T$, we can verify that

$$|D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}Tf(\xi)|\lesssim M(Tf)(x)$$

and

$$|D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}T(f\chi_{3Q})(\xi)|\lesssim M_{L\log L}f(x).$$

As in [4], write

$$T_\epsilon(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi) - D_{\epsilon^s}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi) = \int_{|\xi-y|\le\epsilon} K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi,\, y)f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}(y)dy$$
$$+\int_{|\xi-y|>\epsilon}\big(K(\xi,\, y) - K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi,\, y)\big)f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}(y)dy.$$

The fact that $K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}$ satisfies the size condition (1.9), implies that

$$\Big|\int_{|\xi-y|\le\epsilon} K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi,\, y)f(y)dy\Big| \lesssim \epsilon^{-n}\int_{|\xi-y|<\epsilon}|f(y)|dy \lesssim Mf(x).$$

On the other hand, by the Assumption 1.5, we obtain that

$$\Big|\int_{|\xi-y|>\epsilon}\big(K(\xi,\, y) - K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi,\, y)\big)f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q}(y)dy\Big| \lesssim Mf(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\epsilon\ge\ell(Q)}|T_\epsilon(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 3Q})(\xi)| \lesssim MTf(x) + M_{L\log L}f(x),$$

which, via the estimates (2.8) and (2.10), shows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{T^*}f(x) \lesssim MTf(x) + M_{L\log L}f(x).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

References

[1] S. M. Buckley, Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 340(1993), 253-272.

[2] D. Cruz-Uribe, SFO, J. Martell and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 408–441.

[3] O. Dragicević, L. Grafakos, M. Pereyra and S. Petermichl, Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces, Publ. Mat. 49(2005), 73-91.

[4] X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels on irregular domains, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), 233-265.

[5] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, Some maximal inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 93(1971), 107-115.

[6] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, GTM250, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 2008.

[7] T. Hytönen, The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), 1473-1506.

[8] T. Hytönen, M. T. Lacey and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds for the q-variation of singular integrals, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45(2013), 529-540.

[9] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞, Anal. PDE. 6(2013), 777-818.

[10] G. Hu, Weighted vector-valued estimates for a non-standard Calderón-Zygmund operator, arXiv:1602.07830.

[11] G. Hu and D. Yang, Weighted estimates for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 85(2008), 377-417.

[12] A. K. Lerner, On pointwise estimate involving sparse operator, New York J. Math. 22(2016), 341-349.

[13] J. M. Martell, Sharp maximal functions associated with approximations of the identity in spaces of homogeneous type and applications, Studia Math. **161** (2004), 113-145.

[14] S. Petermichl, The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical A_p characteristic, Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), 1355-1375.

[15] S. Petermichl, The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (4) (2008), 1237-1249.

[16] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis, Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ. 1993.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Zhengzhou Information Science and Technology Institute, Zhengzhou 450001, P. R. China
E-mail address: guoenxx@163.com